

## A PRELUDE...For The Tribune.

My desk is heaped with notes  
From tropic lands divine;  
But this is braver far than all—  
A flask of Chianti wine!

Bring up my golden drinking-cup,  
And reach a dish of fruit,  
And then unlock my cabinet  
And hand me out my lot;

For when these luxuries have fed  
And filled my brain with light,  
I must compose a nuptial song  
To suit my bridal night!

## NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE PHILOSOPHY OF MATHEMATICS. Translated from the French of AUGUSTE COMTE, by W. M. GILLESPIE, Professor in Union College. 8vo. pp. 266. Harper & Brothers.

It speaks well for the devotion to science in this country, that the present admirable analysis of the primary principles of mathematics should be issued from the American press under such worthy auspices. Prof. Gillespie has thus rendered a service to the cause of intellectual culture, which we are bound to acknowledge with grateful emphasis. The work, although not intended, nor adapted for popular reading,—addressing the highest faculty of abstraction which the intellect can exercise,—assuming a conversancy with the most recondite details of mathematical calculation,—and clothed in the austere language of logical deduction,—cannot fail to win the attention of thinking men, and to be classed among the few standard treatises of science which are read for the pure gratification which they afford to the reflective powers. The mere practical student, who seeks in mathematical science nothing but the utility of its various and significant applications,—who looks for immediate results which shall tell on ship-building, on the arrangement of machinery, on the construction of canals and railroads,—who is wholly absorbed in the concrete elements, which are so much the order of the day in modern times, and especially with the eager, restless, projective American,—will no doubt find this a tough bone to pick or a hot afternoon, and will be apt to wish that Prof. Gillespie had confined himself to his legitimate function of throwing light on the duties of the engineer and roadmaker, instead of perplexing the brain of his readers with the subtle hair-splitting of the French transcendentalist.

The work is designed for persons of a different order of intellect. It aims to solve a similar problem in regard to mathematics, with that proposed in Humboldt's Cosmos in relation to the material universe, that is, the detection of the principle of unity amid the variety of phenomena. Accepting the established processes of mathematics as a fact, Comte endeavors to trace them to the ultimate principle of thought in which they had their origin, and thus enters a difficult but highly attractive sphere of the transcendental philosophy. With what success he has accomplished his task, must be decided by the reader after a studious perusal of his analyses. For ourselves, believing that all such attempts, in the present state of knowledge, can only be approximative, we still recognize the comprehensive wisdom, the masterly power of generalization, and the exquisite critical keenness with which Comte has performed the task of contributing toward an ultimate solution of the problem.

In the commencement of the volume the author engages in a very curious investigation, in order to determine the true object of mathematical science. This is one of the most characteristic portions of the work, and gives a favorable specimen of Comte's method.

A common definition of mathematics is given by naming it "the science of magnitudes." This is entirely loose, affording no additional idea, and containing no exact description of the object to be explained. We gain a step by calling it "the science which has for its purpose the measurement of magnitudes." But this does not go to the bottom of the matter. We suppose in every process of measurement, a comparison of the magnitude in question, with another similar magnitude which is supposed to be known and which is adopted as the unit of reference. Hence, this definition reduces mathematics to a simple mechanical method, which gives the ratio of the quantities to be measured to those by which we wish to measure them. The error in the case consists in supposing that the measurement of magnitude is always direct, whereas, in the great majority of cases, it is not only not direct, but impossible. If there were no other means than direct comparison for determining magnitudes, we could make no progress in this department of knowledge.

It is obvious that the simplest case which we can imagine—the measurement of one straight line by another—is encumbered with great difficulties. The conditions necessary to measure a line can seldom be realized at the same time. Even the most probable of all these conditions—that of being able to pass over the whole line from end to end, in order to apply the unit of measurement—cannot be fulfilled in the most important distances. For example, all the distances from one celestial body to another, or from any one of them to the earth, are inaccessible in this way. Even the greater number of terrestrial distances are in the same predicament. Of course, they are excluded by the definition. Still further, a direct measurement requires that the length be neither too great, nor too small, and also that it be suitably situated—for a horizontal line which could be easily measured in its natural position, if turned into a vertical position, would become inaccessible. These difficulties are greatly increased in the measurement of surfaces, velocities, times, forces, and the like, which are less susceptible of direct measurement than is frequently the case with lines. We are accordingly thrown back on a new definition of mathematics. Its precise object is clearly to ascertain magnitudes which do not admit of a direct measurement, by connecting them with others which we can determine immediately, and then discovering the first through the relations which subsist between the two.

This definition is presented in a still more comprehensive aspect, when we consider that the indirect determination of magnitudes may be indirect in very different degrees. In a great many cases, the magnitudes of comparison, by means of which we determine the principal magnitudes, cannot be measured directly themselves. They must accordingly be made the subjects of a separate process. A long series of intermediate magnitudes must thus be decided, before the main problems are susceptible of solution.

We may illustrate this by the phenomena of falling bodies. Each case of this kind presents two quantities, namely, the height from which a body has fallen, and the time of its fall. These have an invariable connection, or, in geometrical language, are functions of each other. The mathematical problem which they suggest, is solved by substituting for the direct measurement of one of these magnitudes, the measurement of the other, and from the relation between them, deducing the value of the unknown quantity.

This example presents a very simple question, when we consider only the relation between time and distance, without regarding the principle of accelerated velocity, or the resistance of the medium. It becomes complicated, when we take other elements into account, such as the vertical or horizontal direction of the line of motion, and others, which give rise to a great number of distinct mathematical questions.

The most general idea, therefore, which can be given of mathematical science, is to define its object as being to determine certain magnitudes from others by means of the precise relations existing between them. The science is thus shown to consist of an immense series of mental processes, in which it is essential to regard all the quantities which any phenomena can present, as so interwoven with each other, as to enable the inquirer to deduce the unknown among them from the already known.

From this general definition, the author proceeds to the divisions of the science, and the different kinds of problems of which it is constantly composed. The primary division is into Abstract mathematics and Concrete mathematics, which is in fact involved in the most simple mathematical question. This may be illustrated by the example already referred to, that of falling bodies. If we wish to determine the height by the time, or conversely, we must ascertain the exact relation between the two elements. Until this is accomplished, we have no basis of calculation. We must not only know that they have a mutual dependence, but we must determine in what this dependence consists. The solution of this problem forms the concrete portion of the investigation, and in the present case is the most difficult and important step in the process. But when this is determined, the inquiry assumes another shape, and presents merely an abstract, numerical question. In this case, the concrete process is the most difficult. But the reverse would be true, if we considered the same phenomenon in its greatest generality. We thus see the connection between mathematics and physical science, properly so called. Concrete mathematics has an experimental character, and is essentially physical and phenomenal. Abstract mathematics is purely logical and rational. The division of abstract mathematics, under the leading heads of Analysis and Geometry, is treated in the remainder of the work, which brings us into a field of inquiry, where the author must be followed with a firm and cautious step, in order to appreciate his profound and suggestive discussions.

The student will be greatly aided in the comprehension of this work, by the manner in which Prof. Gillespie has executed the translation. In point of clearness of statement and smoothness of flow, it has the advantage of the original. The cumbersome sentences, in which the author too often indulges, are broken up into more convenient periods, and his minute ramifications of expression are exchanged for a terseness and simplicity, far more in accordance with the demands of science.

## EUROPE.

## AUSTRIA.

## The Arrest of Mr. Brace.

DESCRIBED BY HIMSELF.

PESTH, (HUNGARY,) June 26, 1851.

To the Editor of the New-York Tribune:

I had hardly thought that the first of my letters from Hungary to *The Tribune*, would be an account of my own imprisonment—but so it has happened. An American citizen, traveling under the Pass of his own Government, and under the protection of the Austrian, has suddenly been arrested, searched, and imprisoned like a felon, for four weeks, on the vaguest grounds, even of suspicion. We doubt whether such an open, unprovoked attack on the rights of a foreign citizen, has ever occurred in Europe. It is not a question of me, the sufferer, only. It concerns the free and just in all lands, and every stranger who may hereafter enter the Austrian territory. It especially concerns the nation of which I am a member, and whose rights, in me, have been violated.

But as my only object is justice, and to lay before my countrymen a true picture of the procedure of the Austrian Court in this matter, I will relate the facts as simply and definitely as possible.

I had been traveling for a considerable time in Hungary, enjoying the enthusiastic welcome which an American will ever receive in that land, studying as I had done in other lands the character and especially the political institutions of the people, when I reached at length a city in the south-eastern part, Grosswarden.

A few hours after my arrival, I went in company with the friend I was visiting, to a hotel to dine. I recollect now, at the table where we were sitting, were two gentlemen who for some reason I could not account for to myself—left an impression on my mind, at the time, of interest. However, I thought no more of it, but according to the invariable rule, which I have observed in all public places in the Austrian territory, I joined in no conversation until, at length, my friend, wishing to show, perhaps, he had an American acquaintance, asked me a question about the Hungarian Colony in Iowa. I said a few words in reply, such as that the climate was excellent, soil good, and that Ujhazi had chosen the place remarkably well; that Ujhazi himself was very much respected in America, and it was said he worked very hard in the Colony, &c. &c. These words, as it appears, were the principal cause of my arrest. After this, along with my friend, I visited the various acquaintances to whom I had letters, as well as others in the city, until, the next day, as we were calling on the Obergespan, I was told by him I stood under suspicion, as I had not handed in my pass for a *viè*. I replied that I supposed that twenty-four hours were allowed for this, here as in other Hungarian cities, and hurried off at once to the "Place-Commandant," to arrange the matter, feeling a little anxious, as I knew the authorities would be glad of any pretext to attack an American. The Commandant, however, received me very politely, and assured me there would be no difficulty whatever, and I returned quietly to dine with an acquaintance.

While at dinner, and in the midst of our congratulations that we had taken away all pretext from the Police for any proceedings against "the American," I stalked the Chief of Police, with a *gens d'arme* and a warrant for my arrest and the searching of my effects, on the charge that I had "Proclamations" with me. We took the matter very quietly, and after some explanations, the Police Director sat down with us to dinner, and we finished our meal and drank coffee together, and then all drove off to my lodgings, supposing it was only a trifle, which would be settled at once, by the examination of my papers. The order for my arrest, it appears, had been made out the day before, within six hours after my arrival, and, as I was lodging with a friend, the *gens d'arme* had not been able to find me, and had searched for me, the whole night through, in every hotel and lodging house of the city. After

a close examination of my friend's effects, the *gens d'arme* and myself drove to the office of one of the military authorities—left my port-folio and papers there, and, with some delay at the barracks, we rode out of the town to the old Castle, "for a temporary arrest," as he said.

As we rattled under the heavy old arched gateway, however, into the court within, I had a presentiment it might not go so well with me as I had thought; for I remembered I was an American, alone, and friendless, in an Austrian prison, in the heart of Hungary. My *gens d'arme* led me up through an old, dilapidated stairway and hall, and there handed me over to two soldiers, who stood on guard over me, with fixed bayonets. Here we waited some time, until at length a little officer, with a sharp voice, told the soldiers to bring me up stairs. Up, accordingly, we marched, and the officer asked why I was here. "I have not the slightest idea," I replied; "I supposed it was because I was an American."

He then said, he had command to search my person, and, without more ceremony, he proceeded to the work. Every possible hole and corner was searched in my pockets; and everything, to the last *kreutzer* and smallest bit of paper, taken out, and carefully noted down. I said not a word during the whole search, though I know nothing more degrading to the feelings of a freeman than such procedure. After this was over, he took me through a dirty room, where were some half-dozen men—in a still dirtier, dimly lighted by a grated window, which was boarded up on the outside nearly to the top, and told me, "there were my quarters."

I asked him if he "could give me no better?" "No," said he, "I am ordered to place you here!" You can have these two gentlemen here for company. It will be part of your experience as a traveler. *Gute Nacht!*

The two "gentlemen" were, the one a common Hovved, convicted of carrying a false pass, and the other a tailor, sentenced to five months for having a concealed weapon.

I had not been there long before a friendly voice from the other room called me to the key, hole, and told me "not to be blue, for it was always hard at first."

"And, friend, what is the news from our people in Europe?"

I replied, "I did not know at all, for I was only a traveler." Whereupon the voice wished me a good sleep, in French, and struck up, for my consolation, the "Marseillaise," with great spirit.

As it may be imagined, I slept little that night. I saw there was a deliberate intention to treat me as a common criminal, and I knew I was completely in the power of the Austrian police. Yet I felt confident that not the slightest word or writing of a treasonable character, could be brought up against me—and, if there was the least justice here, I was sure of coming out directly. To add to my discomfort, was the filthy state of the bed, which was full of fleas, so that my body, on the next morning looked as if I had had a frightful catenous disorder. In the afternoon of the next day, Sunday, May 25, I was summoned before the Court, composed of four military officers, with the "Beisetzers."

At first, as is usual, came a great number of unimportant questions, as to my birth-place, name, profession, &c., &c., and at length the question, put with great earnestness by the examining Major, "What are your objects in Hungary?" As I was before a court of soldiers, as a man not accustomed to be ashamed of his objects anywhere, I explained fully and frankly my plans:

"I am traveling in Hungary, gentlemen, as I have traveled in other lands, with the purpose of studying the character and manners of the people, and with the particular object of investigating the old political institutions of the Hungarians. There has always been a want of good reports in America, with respect to the old Constitution of this Nation. I wished to see its workings, on the spot. My object has been no other than that of a candid investigator."

I soon saw that I had made a great mistake. I was not, at all, before a frank soldier, or a court dispensing justice, but in the hands of a keen, cold, heartless inquisitor, using every device to entangle me, and determined, from some unaccountable reason, to fasten a crime upon me.

"We do not believe your account, sir," said he, "we know the sympathy of the Americans with these revolutionists here. We know that no American traveler would leave the great routes of travel for such a vague purpose as this. You are the first who has ever been in the land. We know your object!"

It was in vain, I assured him that our countrymen traveled in all lands; and that to a thinking man, nothing was more interesting than the political institutions of a country. He would hear nothing of it, and I gave up the controversy, by asking for "his proofs of any other object!"

He did not answer, but continued with a most searching investigation, as to my acquaintance with the Hungarian emigrants.

Fortunately for me, I had met but few whose names I remembered, and of these, the only one of importance was Gen. Czetz, whom I had met in Hamburg, and who had, very politely, given me a note of introduction to a friend in Pesth, which I still had with me. The note was of the simplest form ever used in Europe, merely—"The Herr von C. introduces with pleasure, Mr. —, to his friend Mr. S. of P." Yet this was pounced upon with the greatest avidity, by the examining Major, or "Auditor," as he is called.

"We understand the countersigns and secret devices of your Democratic Society. You hide a conspiracy under a few words. There is a plot here!"

I could hardly help smiling at such a perversion of a simple matter, and told him, he must know the world very little, or he would know, nothing was more common, than such formal introductions. I had a dozen now in my portfolio.

Among the Emigrants I mentioned I had seen Ujhazi in New-York. To this, he returned again and again. "Where had I spoken with him?" "I had never spoken with him." "What is your connection with him?" "I have none."

"Speak out, sir, open and frankly. Do not hold back so much! What is your agreement with Ujhazi, and where are your letters from him?" "I repeat it—and it will not be necessary to say it again, that I do not know Ujhazi, and have never spoken with him. If you have proofs, you must bring them forward. I cannot understand how such a suspicion of my being in a plot can have arisen! Even if I had known Ujhazi and the whole Hungarian Emigration it would be no evidence of any conspiracy with them."

Next came up the point of my having visited certain persons who were, in 1848, engaged in the Revolution. I admitted it, but urged that I had also visited men of the other party, even the Government officials, and that my letters were to the principal men of all parties.

"We understand it, Sir! That your screen!" said he.

In my pack was found a revolutionary pamphlet printed in 1848, on which the "Auditor" laid great stress, as proving my revolutionary purposes.

"It proves nothing," said I, in reply; "I have been collecting documents from all sides, and this is one. I can prove from Vienna, that when there, I read works on the other side. Besides, even if it showed my political sentiments, it does not at all prove I am in a revolutionary plot. And furthermore, old revolutionary pamphlets, which no one reads now except the historical investigator, are the very last things an emissary would carry about with him!"

"The reading works on the other side was only natural in an educated man," said he.

I then ventured to ask, "What would not be suspicious in an American in the view of the Austrian authorities? It was 'suspicious' to visit men of the Hungarian party, and only a 'sham' to visit those of the other. It was 'revolutionary' if one read books on one side, and proved nothing good if one read them on the other."

"I am not here to argue," was the reply. Every slightest thing which the Auditor could find to make out a case against me was eagerly grasped.

I had been visiting a gentleman in the neighborhood, who was intimately connected with one of the leaders of the Hungarian party in 1848. As I was going away, he gave me his own card, which I could present as a card of introduction to his relative, now residing in England. Being in a hurry, I merely wrote down on the card the address in London, and dropped it in my pocket. This was all eagerly caught at by the prosecuting officer.

"It was not a common card—for then, there would be no pencil marks upon it. It was not a card of invitation, for there is nothing said of introduction to it. It is the secret cover of a plot. Confess, Sir, what there is under this! Beside, why have you visited this family?"

I replied, that I had had a letter of introduction to the gentleman, and I wished especially to see something of country life and of a farm, on a *Puszta*. And, as for the card of invitation, it could not be thought a crime, when the gentleman himself had been allowed, by Government, to go to England to visit his relative.

At the close of the examination, some six hours in length, came the charge against me, in the following words: "You are a member of the Democratic Verein, (Union) and employed by the Committee, and an agent of Ujhazi and Czetz, here in Hungary, for the purpose of spreading revolutionary movements!"

As it appeared later, the only possible evidence which they had for this charge, besides what is mentioned above, were the words I had uttered in the hotel. The two men opposite us at table were members of the Secret Police, and had reported immediately that there was an American in the city who "spoke as if acquainted with Ujhazi."

I beg the reader to consider the whole mode of this examination, as showing the spirit of the Court toward the accused in this case. A stranger is suddenly summoned before a secret Court. He is not allowed to hear the accusation against him. He knows nothing of the testimony. He is permitted no advocate nor friend, must defend himself on a question, perhaps of life and death, in a foreign language. The examination is not that of a magistrate searching for the probabilities of an offense, but of an inquisitor, determined to entangle and to punish.

After this examination succeeded some others, unimportant, and then the long, weary, almost hopeless days of imprisonment. I saw that every effort would be strained to punish the American; I knew that I was completely in the hands of men with whom justice and mercy were no object, and far from any aid from the nation, which in me was attacked.

I might lay for months, years, rotting in that dull prison. The sense of injustice, of indignation at such a mean reprisal for the generous sympathy of my countrymen added themselves to the many and desolate feelings which come over a man in such a situation.

However, I resolved at once on the only means for my deliverance, and this was, to communicate with our Embassy in Vienna. This was difficult, as we were all closely watched and every letter by mail would certainly be opened. From the extraordinary sympathy of the people without, however, for my case, I at length succeeded; and, as I have since learned, this probably saved me.

For three days after my examination I was closely locked up, with my two companions, but after this, allowed the privilege of walking an hour in the court with the other prisoners. I made repeated attempts to obtain a hearing with the Major, in order to express my sense of my treatment, and at length, after nearly three weeks of imprisonment succeeded, as it was necessary for me to see him, in order to draw my money.

He asked, what I wished with him?

"I wish," said I, "to report myself to the Court Martial with respect to my treatment here, through this whole case. I beg you to remember that the matter is quite as serious a one for you Sir, as for me. You have suddenly, on mere suspicion, arrested me, a free American citizen, traveling with a pass, under the protection of your Government and my own. You have treated me like a felon. You have shut me up with men whom the Austrian Government regards as the greatest criminals—some of them even yet under sentence of death. You have thrown me into most filthy quarters, where my whole body is eaten with fleas"—[and, as I said this, I bared my arm before him, all blotched and marked by the insects.] "And more than this, sir, you have held me here for three weeks, on such slight proof, and on a charge, so unsupported, that I must consider it an attack on me as an American. I know our Government and our people. They will never suffer a free citizen, to be mistreated, on such grounds. They will hold you responsible, Sir, and your Government, for these proceedings!"

He had, by this time, as I have since learned, received intelligence of a spirited appeal of Mr. McCurdy's, in Vienna, for my release, and he evidently felt alarmed. The bullying manner of the previous examinations, was changed for the most soft and winning. He begged me to be assured he had not been aware of my treatment in the prison. He himself might be convinced of my innocence, but he was obliged to carry out the investigation according to the usual forms—He had always felt a sincere respect for the Americans—and he hoped I would not think he had delayed this investigation—My papers were all in English or French, and he had been obliged to send them to Pesth for translation—He regretted extremely the long delay, &c., &c.

Before closing this long letter, I beg my countrymen to notice the *proofs*—if proofs there can be called—in this case against the accused. 1. A note of introduction from a prominent Hungarian emigrant. 2. A card of introduction to another Hungarian emigrant in England. 3. The fact that certain persons had been called upon who were compromised in the Revolution of 1848. 4. The possession of a pamphlet and history advocating the Hungarian side. 5. Words implying an acquaintance with Ujhazi!

On these proofs I, for 30 days, was confined in an Austrian prison, and even yet an "arrest" in the house of the Chief of Police in Pesth.

Whatever the proofs were, the results would have been the same. The order for my arrest—as I can show hereafter—came from Vienna, not Grosswarden!

Americans! your fellow-citizen has ventured into a distant land, under the protection of your name! In open day, while observing every law of the country in which he travels, he is dragged to a dungeon and treated as a felon.

Even as you are bound, on your own streets and highways, to protect your poorest citizen from the attack of the assassin or the robber, so equally are you bound, in these distant lands, to shield your humblest countryman from the violence of an unjust Government!

C. L. R.

## FRANCE.

## Politics and Things in General.

Correspondence of The Tribune.

PARIS, Thursday, July 10, 1851.

M. de Tocqueville, reporter of the commission for examining the propositions for revision, and M. de Melun, reporter of the sub-commission for collecting and methodizing the petitions upon the same subject, have both submitted their reports to the Assembly. M. de Tocqueville's conclusions are favorable to a total revision, or revision without previous specification of the articles to be modified. The document is a cool, unimpassioned performance, and utterly fails of moving or convincing a mind inclined to an adverse opinion upon the subject in debate. The vote upon accepting or rejecting it in committee was 8 to 6. (M. Corcelles being absent.) Each party keeping its own numbers intact. As the general discussion comes on so soon, (next Monday, July 14,) I do not deem it worth while to give M. de Tocqueville's arguments in full, as I shall have occasion during the progress of the contest to refer to them quite often enough. Among the members who are to speak in favor of the conclusions of the report are M. M. Falloux, Odilon and Ferdinand Barrot, Coquerel, de Broglie, de Montalembert, de Melun and Dufaure, beside about 20 others less known to your readers. Among those who are to speak against the proposition for revision, reported by M. de Tocqueville, are Emmanuel Arago, Victor Hugo, Jules Favre, Bac, Madier de Montjau, Cavaignac and about 20 others. Lamartine has not yet put down his name, as intending to speak. It is the custom in the French chamber for the orators to follow each other a *tour de rôle*—that is a person defending a measure is always succeeded at the tribune by one opposing it and vice versa—two supporters or two opposers not speaking successively. To keep this alternation with effect, it is necessary for those intending to take part in the discussion to write their names in advance in the secretary's book, and state upon which side they will speak, whether for or against.

M. de Tocqueville is the *Magnus Apollo* of France upon all subjects relating to America. Every thing which proceeds from his oracular jaws upon republican institutions in the United States, is considered as authentic as the Gospel according to John. Great, therefore, was the chagrin of the Americans, on learning that the following outrageous statement of the mode in which the President is elected in the United States, had been read from the tribune by M. de Tocqueville: "The President of the United States emanates from universal suffrage, but not directly from the people, but through the intervention of the electors of the States. The power to be chosen was thought to be too important and too remote from the elector, for the choice of the latter to be enlightened and mature. It was therefore entrusted to a select body of men, called electors, who in their turn choose the President. These delegates represent, without doubt, the general spirit of the country, its tendencies, its tastes, often its passions and its prejudices, but they are in the possession of knowledge and power of judging which the people have not. They thus come to an exact idea of the wants of the people they know the candidates, compare them together, weigh their comparative merits, and, in the midst of his labors, and the occupation of his necessary industry, would be incapable of doing."

So that the 150 representatives hold the immovable belief that every four years, the American people, conscious of its own ignorance, and unable to make a decent choice, abdicates and makes over its rights to such a select body. I doubt whether a more scandalous misrepresentation was ever made in any Legislative Assembly.

M. de Melun, in his report upon the petitions, which have been presented to the chamber, takes occasion to blame severely the part that the authorities have played in the procuring of signatures. This, coming from a person who, favoring revision, is a severe blow for the administration. He says:

In several communes, the Mayor states that the public school teachers and the game keepers, have been charged with the duty of collecting signatures. In others, the petition was left at the Mayor's office, where the inhabitants were called upon to sign it. Sometimes the Mayor excuses himself by some plea or other, for the paucity of the adherents he has been able to obtain, as if he was giving an account of his conduct to the superior authority—Sometimes a Mayor, thinking himself in a position to make a good use of the signatures, delivers certificates of real to certain of his emissaries, and makes mention of others in a way any thing but agreeable—Justices of the Peace denounce the Mayors that won't lead a hand to the President, and Mayors denounce the Justices of the Peace.

The report finishes with the following sentence, hard as it is, the Minister of the Interior well deserves it:

"May this result be a lesson for the administration, which in such cases, so far from encouraging, ought to check the abuses of its agents. It is intolerable only to weaken the importance of a movement in which the morality, the sincerity, spontaneity of the petitioners are of more effect than the mere abstract number of signatures. The hand of the Government is not to be seen in their petition, it is very far from organizing their cause."

There is no hope for revision at the first trial, whatever may be the result at subsequent attempts.

The famous Central Committee of Resistance has at last been named, and all their material, press-proof, copy, forms and type, and even removed to their quarters. Twelve persons implicated have been arrested. Three of them are the Editors of the *Duilein*, while the others, doffing for the moment their real professions of schoolmaster, clock-maker, lemonade man, musical instrument maker, coffee house keeper, wine dealer and professor, donned for the nonce, those of compositor, proof-reader and pressman. The 12th issue of this crazy league is as violent and senseless as its predecessors. The President is apostrophized as a *criminel stupide et lâche*, a pot-house expression, which may be rendered by the phrase, a stupid and low-headed sponger. A bold figure of speech concludes this precious appeal to the Democracy: "Brothers be on the qui vive, if they tear the Constitution, we'll wad our rifles with the pieces!" There's one consolation in this threat; if they don't wad their rifles with anything else, they won't do much harm.

The body of a gentleman, for such he was supposed to be from his dress, was found yesterday in the Seine, at St. Cloud. He wore the decoration of some foreign order, and in his pockets were found a watch, diamonds, 500 francs in gold and a letter, which proved that the inconstancy and treachery of a certainly were the cause of his suicide. Addressed to this same lady was a note, containing the following lines:

Quid levius pluma? Pulvis. Quid pavore? Ventus.

Quid vento? Maller. Quid Maller? Nihil. If these were French, I should feel bound to translate it; but as it is not, I am not supposed to know anything more about it than your own French. "Frailty, thy name is woman," is a free and easy version.

The visit of Louis Napoleon to Beauvais, and the inauguration of the statue of Jeanne d'Arc, have suggested an idea to the management of the dancing garden known as the Chateau Rouge, which is conveyed in the following advertisement:

"The management is preparing a musical and dancing society for Thursday next, at an extraordinary originality and eccentricity. Being in honor of Jeanne d'Arc, the protectress of Beauvais, the ladies will on this occasion hold the scepter of authority. The order of nature will be reversed, the world turned upside down. The orchestra will be conducted by Madame Manan. The ladies will regulate the programme of the evening, invite an entire company, let off the fireworks, and have entire control of the police. The only privileges which the lords of creation will be allowed to retain, is that of paying five francs for admission! Now if we only had a few Bismarcks here and some of those straggled women from the pork growing State, it would render the attraction quite irresistible."

Charles Hugo, who, you will remember, appealed from the decision of the Court of Assizes, in the affair of the article written by him upon the execution of Montchamant, has, upon second thoughts, desisted from his appeal, and has decided to undergo the penalty prescribed by the Court. It seems the *Esquimaux* is already overwhelmed with suits, and as an unsuccessful appeal always subjects the appellant to an additional fine, he judges it the wisest plan to be satisfied with things as they are.

Baron Duvivier, the premature announcement of whose death was taken out by the last steamer, so far from having "inhabited an obscure apartment in the Twelfth Ward," is still living in true baronial style at his chateau in the Loz at Garonne. He never resigns, by the way, his wife's romances. His disease was believed in Paris, for several literary gentlemen of eminence are said to have laid their hands and fortunes at the feet of George Sand. A new paper here, which never allows of a joke, and whose editors never laugh by any chance, announced the death of the Baron the following morning. Everybody has read the Baron Duvivier, husband of our illustrious romance M. George Sand.

Everybody has admired the simplicity of Cincinnatus, the Roman Dictator, who returned to his plow and his furrow, after having for a certain period held the helm of the ship of State. Everybody has read with mingled pity and admiration of the sublime poverty of Johnson, who wrote his romance of *Rossella* to purchase the rites of burial for the body of his dead mother. But we doubt whether the ludicrous mixture of a great name and a very mundane occupation in the following card, will excite any deeper emotion than that of mirth. Let any skeptic should doubt, let me premise that the advertisement is bona fide, as any one may prove for himself, by a direct communication. The card in question is as follows:

MADAME L. RUPERT,  
Sister of  
MR. A. THIERS,  
Former President of the Chamber of Ministers,  
Kings a Table d'Hôte  
At 2 francs a head, wines included. Ladies 1 fr. 50.  
At 6 o'clock  
Breakfast 1 fr. 50.—14 francs.  
44 Rue de la Harpe, PARIS.

We may expect next the announcement of M. Guizot to advertise for washing and ironing, or, perhaps, the niece of M. Durbal to hang out a sign as thus: Waiting and tending: Going out to day's work done home. N. B.—Carpets shod to order. No connection with any other concern. I understand that there is no necessity for Adolphe Thiers' sister to keep a Restaurant, and that she does it from caprice, or as a means of breaking a humiliating habit of begging for family sight, or, at least, the good good dinners, now requiring each new customer to be introduced and guaranteed by some habitué in good standing.

I give you an abstract of the programme of the grand succession of fêtes, announced for the eight days, comprehended between the 23d August and the 31st September. They may probably never be realized, but as the conception is so can do you no harm to know how the Parisians propose to amuse themselves on that occasion. First Day: Triumphal march of the Industry of all nations. The city will be decorated at the principal points in a manner befitting the celebration. A colossal Statue of Peace will be erected on the spot of the *Arch of Triumph*. (This monument is 150 feet high.) A colossal Statue of Humanity will be placed at the *Champs Elysées*. The torch, the hand of the state will burn at night by the application of electricity. The flags and colors of all nations as well as their national and peculiar airs, will form feature in the procession.